A-11 (rev. 7/00) Page 1 of 2



USCA DOCKET # (IF KNOWN)									

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING STATEMENT

EASE ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES IF NECESSARY.							
TITLE IN FULL: THE FACEBOOK, INC. and MARK	DISTRICT: Northern District of California JUDGE: Honorable James Ware						
ZUCKERBERG,	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER: 5:07-cv-01389 JW						
Plaintiffs, vs.	DATE NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: 7/30/08	IS THIS A CROSS-APPEAL? YES [
CONNECTU, INC. (formerly known as CONNECTU, LLC), PACIFIC NORTHWEST SOFTWARE, INC., WINSTON WILLIAMS, and WAYNE CHANG,	IF THIS MATTER HAS BEEN BEFORE THIS COURT PREVIOUSLY, PLEASE PROVIDE THE DOC KET NUMBER AND CITATION (IF ANY):						
Defendants.	DEGLE DEL OF	V.					
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF NATURE OF ACTION AND RESULT BELOW: District Court granted judgment enforcing a purported settlement agreement. Defendants-appellants dispute the enforceability of that purported agreement.							
PRINCIPAL ISSUES PROPOSED TO	BE RAISED ON APPEAL:	ish and halding an avidantian					
PRINCIPAL ISSUES PROPOSED TO BE RAISED ON AFFEAL. 1) Whether the District Court erred in summarily enforcing a purported settlement agreement without holding an evidentiary hearing notwithstanding disputed issues of fact as to whether the parties agreed to all material terms.							
2) Whether the District Court erred in refusing to void a purported settlement agreement under Section 29(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. §78cc(b), because it was procured through securities fraud.							
Appellants reserve the right to appeal additional issues, including but not limited to evidentiary rulings.							
PLEASE IDENTIFY ANY OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDING THAT MAY HAVE A BEARING ON THIS CASE (INCLUDE PENDING DISTRICT COURT POSTJUDGMENT MOTIONS):							
Motion to Stay Judgment in the District Court.							
DOES THIS APPEAL INVOLVE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING:							
☐ Possibility of settlement	Possibility of settlement						
☐ Likelihood that intervening	Likelihood that intervening precedent will control outcome of appeal						
☐ Likelihood of a motion to e	Likelihood of a motion to expedite or to stay the appeal, or other procedural matters (Specify):						
Motion to Expedite Appeal; Motion to Stay Judgment, if stay is denied by District Court.							
	Any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the Mediation Program						
Possibility parties would stipulate to binding award by Appellate Commissioner in lieu of submission to judges \Box							
LOWER COURT INFORMATION							

JURISDICTION		DISTRICT COURT DISPOSITION					
FEDERAL	APPELLATE	TYPE OF JUDGMENT/ORDER APPEALED	RELIEF				
FEDERAL QUESTION	FINAL DECISION OF DISTRICT COURT	☐ DEFAULT JUDGMENT ☐ DISMISSAL/JURISDICTION	DAMAGES: SOUGHT \$ AWARDED \$				
DIVERSITY OTHER (SPECIFY):	□ INTERLOCUTORY DECISION APPEALABLE AS OF RIGHT □ INTERLOCUTORY ORDER CERTIFIED BY DISTRICT JUDGE (SPECIFY): □ OTHER (SPECIFY):	□ DISMISSAL/MERITS □ SUMMARY JUDGMENT □ JUDGMENT/COURT DECISION □ JUDGMENT/JURY VERDICT □ DECLARATORY JUDGMENT □ JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW □ OTHER (SPECIFY):	INJUNCTIONS: □ PRELIMINARY ✓ PERMANENT ☑ GRANTED □ DENIED □ ATTORNEY FEES: SOUGHT \$ AWARDED \$ □ PENDING □ COSTS: \$				
		DESCRIPTION OF COUNSEL					
I CERTIFY THAT: 1. COPIES OF ORDER/JUDGMENT APPEALED FROM ARE ATTACHED. 2. A CURRENT SERVICE LIST OR REPRESENTATION STATEMENT WITH TELEPHONE AND FAX NUMBERS IS ATTACHED (SEE 9TH CIR RULE 3-2). 3. A COPY OF THIS CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING STATEMENT WAS SERVED IN COMPLIANCE WITH FRAP 25. 4. I UNDERSTAND THAT FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THESE FILING REQUIREMENTS MAY RESULT IN SANCTIONS, INCLUDING DISMISSAL OF THIS APPEAL Signature Date							
COUNSEL WHO COMPLETED THIS FORM							
ADDRESS: 530 E-MAIL: epark TELEPHONE: (2	Parke Schiller & Flexner LLP 1 Wisconsin Ave. N.W., W xe@bsfllp.com 202) 274-6131						
FAX: (202) 237-6131							
THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD BE FILED IN THE DISTRICT COURT WITH THE NOTICE OF APPEAL *IF FILED LATE, IT SHOULD BE FILED DIRECTLY WITH THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS*							